Report Title:	Finance Update 2023/24
Contains	No – Part I
Confidential or	
Exempt Information	
Cabinet Member:	Councillor Amy Tisi
Meeting and Date:	Schools Forum 18 January 2024
Responsible	Lin Ferguson - Executive Director of
Officer(s):	Children's Services
	Louise Dutton - Head of Finance Achieving for
	Children (RBWM)
Wards affected:	All



REPORT SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to provide the Schools Forum with the financial position for financial year 2023/24 along with a summary of associated material variances, and the projected reserve deficit balance. Details are set out in sections 2 to 4.

1. DETAILS OF RECOMMENDATION(S)

RECOMMENDATION: That Schools Forum notes the report:

• including the reported variance for the financial year 2023/24, and the projected deficit balance carried forward.

2. REASON(S) FOR RECOMMENDATION(S) AND OPTIONS CONSIDERED

Options

Table 1: Options arising from this report

Option	Comments
Schools Forum to note the contents of the report and impact on the projected reserve deficit balance as at 31 March 2024. This is the recommended option.	Continued monitoring and timely reporting of material variances throughout 2023/24 reported to appropriate stakeholders including Schools Forums and RBWM Cabinet. This would enable up to date and accurate reporting of the projected reserve deficit as at 31 March 2024.
Do nothing.	The failure to use relevant
This is not recommended.	financial information to understand the position of the Dedicated Schools Grant reserve.

2.1 The recommended option to note the contents of the report and impact on the projected reserve deficit balance as at 31 March 2024 will ensure an

understanding of the Dedicated Schools Grant financial position for 2023/24 and the cumulative deficit.

3. KEY IMPLICATIONS

3.1 The thresholds for measuring the effectiveness have been set in table 2. Therefore, the measure has been met.

Outcome	Unmet	Met	Exceeded	Significantly Exceeded	Date of delivery
Schools Forum to note the contents of the report and impact on the projected reserve deficit balance as at 31 March 2024	Greater than 3% movement in reported variance of central schools budget as at 31 March 2024	Less than 3% movement in reported variance of central schools budget as at 31 March 2024	Less than 2% movement in reported variance of central schools budget as at 31 March 2024	Less than 1% movement in reported variance of central schools budget as at 31 March 2024	16 November 2023

Table 2: Key Implications

4. FINANCIAL DETAILS / VALUE FOR MONEY

- 4.1 The settlement for the Royal Borough of Windsor and Maidenhead for 2023/24 (including Academy schools) budget notification is £150.428m with net retained funding of £75.663m.
- 4.2 Table 3 breaks down the grant allocation by agreed application and between the element that will be administered by the local Authority and the proportion that will be recouped by the DfE for academies, non-maintained independent special schools and further education colleges.

4.3 Table 3: DSG Allocation 2023/24

DSG Block	Total DSG budget (£m)	Academy Recoupme nt (£m)	LA Retained budget (£m)
Schools Block	109.814	(73.331)	36.483
Central Schools Services Block	0.995	0.000	0.995
High Needs Block	28.352	(1.435)	26.917

Early Years Block	11.267	0.000	11.267
Total DSG	150.428	(74.765)	75.663

4.4 The dedicated schools grant budget for the financial year 2023/24 is projected to overspend by £0.252m representing 0.1% of the total DSG allocation for 2023/24. Table 4 outlines the allocation and projected spend for each of the four blocks.

4.5 **Table 4: Summarised Financial Position 2023/24**

DSG Block	Budget 2023/24 (£m)	Month 6 Projection (£m)	Variance (£m)
Schools Block	36.483	35.878	(0.605)
Central Schools Services Block	0.995	1.044	0.050
High Needs Block	26.917	28.135	1.218
Early Years Block	11.267	10.856	(0.411)
Total DSG	75.663	75.852	0.252
Balance brought forward DSG general reserve (surplus) / deficit			1.106
Net (surplus) /deficit			1.358

- 4.6 The main reasons for the Dedicated Schools Grant net overspend of £0.252m are as follows:
 - The Schools Block underspend (£0.605m) relates to the release of an uncommitted pupil growth fund as no additional school places have been required this year, the underspend represents 54% of the budget allocation.
 - The Early Years Block underspend (£0.411m) reflects the projected funding levels compared to actual levels of provision as reported through census data, the underspend represents 4% of the budget allocation. The January 2024 census data is unknown at the time of writing the report. The final outturn position will be predicated on this census.
 - The High Needs Block overspend (£1.218m) is primarily due to provision of Independent Special or Non-Maintained Schools and other associated direct support and increase in top up funding for pupils in mainstream schools. The overspend represents 5% of the budget allocation.

5. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS

5.1 There are no legal implications directly arising from this report.

6. RISK MANAGMENT

6.1 There are no potential risks directly arising from this report, however, the requirement from the DfE is RBWM/AfC will update and agree a Deficit Management Plan to address the cumulative deficit position in the short to medium term.

7. POTENTIAL IMPACTS

- 7.1 Equalities. Equality Impact Assessments are published on the <u>council's</u> <u>website</u>. The Equality Act 2010 places a statutory duty on the council to ensure that when considering any new or reviewed strategy, policy, plan, project, service or procedure the impacts on particular groups, including those within the workforce and customer/public groups, have been considered. It has been assessed that there are no Equality Impact risks arising from this report. Link to Equality Impact Assessments. <u>https://www.rbwm.gov.uk/home/counciland-democracy/equalities-and-diversity/equality-impact-assessments</u>
- 7.2 Climate change/sustainability. There are no climate change/ sustainability risks arising from this report.
- 7.3 Data Protection/GDPR. There are no data protection/ GDPR risks arising from this report.

8. CONSULTATION

8.1 There is no requirement for stakeholder consultation arising from this report.

9. TIMETABLE FOR IMPLEMENTATION

9.1 There is no timetable for implementation of any actions arising from this report.

10. BACKGROUND DOCUMENTS

- 10.1 This report is supported by one background document:
 - Schools revenue funding 2023/24 Operational guide <u>Schools operational</u> guide: 2023 to 2024 - GOV.UK (www.gov.uk)

11.CONSULTATION

Name of	Post held	Date	Date
consultee		sent	returned
Mandatory:	Statutory Officer (or deputy)		
Elizabeth Griffiths	Executive Director of Resources		
	& S151 Officer		
Elaine Browne	Deputy Director of Law &		
	Governance & Monitoring		
	Officer		
Deputies:			
Andrew Vallance	Deputy Director of Finance &		
	Deputy S151 Officer		
Jane Cryer	Principal Lawyer & Deputy		
	Monitoring Officer		
Mandatory:	Procurement Manager (or deputy) - if		
	report requests approval to go to		
Lyn Hitchinson	tender or award a contract		1
Lyn Hitchinson	Procurement Manager		
Mandatory:	Data Protection Officer (or deputy) - if		
	decision will result in processing of		
Samantha	personal data; to advise on DPIA Data Protection Officer		
Wootton	Data Protection Officer		
	Equalities Officer – to advise on EQiA,		
Mandatory:	or agree an EQiA is not required		
Ellen McManus-	Equalities & Engagement Officer		
Fry	1 3 3		
Other consultees:			
Directors (where			
relevant)			
Stephen Evans	Chief Executive		
Andrew Durrant	Executive Director of Place		
Kevin McDaniel	Executive Director of Adult		
	Social Care & Health		
Lin Ferguson	Executive Director of Children's		
	Services & Education		
Assistant Directors			
(where relevant)			
Clive Haines	Deputy Director of Education		
External (where			
relevant)			
N/A			

Cllr Tisi	Cabinet Member for Children	
	Services	

REPORT HISTORY

Decision type: Urgency item? To follow item?				
For information No No				
Report Author: Louise Dutton, Head of Finance – Achieving for Children				



1. Background Information

Title of policy/strategy/plan:	Finance Update 2023/24
Service area:	Schools
Directorate:	Children's Services
Directorate.	

Provide a brief explanation of the proposal:

- What are its intended outcomes?
- Who will deliver it?
- Is it a new proposal or a change to an existing one?

The intended outcome of the proposal is to provide Schools Forum with an updated financial position in respect of the Dedicated Schools Grants reported variance, deficit balance as of 31 March 2024.

This is not a new proposal and is a requirement to inform Schools Forum of the financial position of the Dedicated Schools Grant.

2. Relevance Check

Is this proposal likely to <u>directly</u> impact people, communities or **RBWM** employees?

- If No, please explain why not, including how you've considered equality issues.
- Will this proposal need a EQIA at a later stage? (for example, for a forthcoming action plan)

Yes.

The Deficit Management Plan developed may impact on the current range of services provided for pupils within this characteristic. The impact will be continually reviewed and reassessed. The expectation is more appropriate provision will be provided to pupils within this characteristic.

If 'No', proceed to 'Sign off'. If unsure, please contact equality@rbwm.gov.uk

	3.	Evidence	Gathering	and	Stakeholder	Engagement
--	----	----------	-----------	-----	-------------	------------

Who will be affected by this proposal?

For example, users of a particular service, residents of a geographical area, staff

Stakeholders including pupils with disabilities will be directly affected by the proposals included within this report.

Among those affected by the proposal, are protected characteristics (age, sex, disability, race, religion, sexual orientation, gender reassignment, pregnancy/maternity, marriage/civil partnership) disproportionately

represented?

For example, compared to the general population do a higher proportion have disabilities?

Stakeholders including pupils with disabilities will be directly affected by the proposals included within this report.

What engagement/consultation has been undertaken or planned?

- How has/will equality considerations be taken into account?
- Where known, what were the outcomes of this engagement?

Schools Forum is actively engaged throughout the Schools Formula budget setting. Within the Deficit Management Plan strategy there was a series of stakeholder surveys and engagement sessions undertaken with key groups.

What sources of data and evidence have been used in this assessment? Please consult the Equalities Evidence Grid for relevant data. Examples of other possible sources of information are in the Guidance document.

Not Applicable

4. Equality Analysis

Please detail, using supporting evidence:

- How the protected characteristics below might influence the needs and experiences of individuals, in relation to this proposal.
- How these characteristics might affect the impact of this proposal.

Tick positive/negative impact as appropriate. If there is no impact, or a neutral impact, state 'Not Applicable'

More information on each protected characteristic is provided in the Guidance document.

	Details and supporting evidence	Potential	Potential
		positive impact	negative impact
Age	This report does impact on pupils within this protected characteristic; however, as school funding is on a formula basis impact has already been considered within previous reports and decision-making processes	Yes	Not Applicable
Disability	The Deficit Management Plan developed may impact on the current range of services provided for pupils within this characteristic. The impact will be continually reviewed and reassessed. The expectation is more appropriate provision will be provided to pupils within this characteristic.	Yes	Yes
Sex	There is nothing in the report which is considered to impact on this protected characteristic	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Race, ethnicity and religion	There is nothing in the report which is considered to impact on this protected characteristic	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Sexual orientation and gender reassignment	There is nothing in the report which is considered to impact on this protected characteristic	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Pregnancy and maternity	There is nothing in the report which is considered to impact on this protected characteristic	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Marriage and civil partnership	There is nothing in the report which is considered to impact on this protected characteristic	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Armed forces community	There is nothing in the report which is considered to impact on this protected characteristic	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

Socio-economic considerations e.g. low income, poverty	There is nothing in the report which is considered to impact on this protected characteristic	Not Applicable	Not Applicable
Children in care/Care leavers	There is nothing in the report which is considered to impact on this protected characteristic	Not Applicable	Not Applicable

5. Impact Assessment and Monitoring

If you have not identified any disproportionate impacts and the questions below are not applicable, leave them blank and proceed to Sign Off.

What measures have been taken to ensure that groups with protected characteristics are able to benefit from this change, or are not disadvantaged by it?

For example, adjustments needed to accommodate the needs of a particular group Not Applicable

Where a potential negative impact cannot be avoided, what measures have been put in place to mitigate or minimise this?

• For planned future actions, provide the name of the responsible individual and the target date for implementation.

Not Applicable

How will the equality impacts identified here be monitored and reviewed in the future?

See guidance document for examples of appropriate stages to review an EQIA.

Not Applicable

6. Sign Off

Completed by: Louise Dutton	Date: 25-10-23	
Approved by:	Date:	

If this version of the EQIA has been reviewed and/or updated:

Reviewed by:	Date: